

A TRIVIAL REMARK ON THE NISNEVICH TOPOLOGY

MARC HOYOIS

ABSTRACT. We observe that all existing definitions of the Nisnevich topology are equivalent.

Let X be a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme and let $Y \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism which is surjective on k -points for every field k . Then there exists a sequence $\emptyset = Z_n \subset Z_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset Z_0 = X$ of finitely presented closed subschemes such that $Y \rightarrow X$ admits a section over $Z_{i-1} \setminus Z_i$ for all i . This generalizes [MV99, §3, Lemma 1.5], where X is assumed noetherian.

Here is the proof. Consider the set Φ of all closed subschemes $Z \subset X$ for which the map $Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Z$ does not admit such a sequence. If Z is a cofiltered intersection $\bigcap_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}$ and $Z \notin \Phi$, then there exists α such that $Z_{\alpha} \notin \Phi$, by [Gro66, Proposition 8.6.3 and Théorème 8.8.2 (i)]. In particular, Φ is inductively ordered. Next, we note that any étale morphism that splits over a maximal point x splits over some open neighborhood of x : indeed, the local ring \mathcal{O}_x is henselian, since its reduction is a field. If $Z \in \Phi$, then Z is nonempty and hence has a maximal point. Thus, $Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Z$ splits over some nonempty open subscheme of Z , which may be chosen to have a finitely presented closed complement $W \subset Z$, by [TT90, Lemma 2.6.1 (c)]. Clearly, $W \in \Phi$. This shows that Φ does not have a minimal element. By Zorn's lemma, therefore, Φ is empty.

Consider the topology on the category of schemes generated by families of étale maps that are jointly surjective on k -points for every field k . The previous observation shows that this topology is generated by open covers and étale maps admitting finitely presented splitting sequences. In other words, the topology originally defined by Nisnevich in [Nis89] coincides with the Nisnevich topology defined in [Hoy14, Appendix C], despite an unsubstantiated claim to the contrary in *loc. cit.* By [AHW15, Proposition 2.3.2], this topology is even generated by open covers and maps of the form $\mathrm{Spec}(B \times A_f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, where $A \rightarrow B$ is étale and induces an isomorphism $A/f \simeq B/f$.

REFERENCES

- [AHW15] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, and M. Wendt., *Affine representability results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory I: vector bundles*, 2015, [arXiv:1506.07093v2 \[math.AG\]](#)
- [Gro66] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **28** (1966)
- [Hoy14] M. Hoyois, *A quadratic refinement of the Grothendieck–Lefschetz–Verdier formula*, Alg. Geom. Top. **14** (2014), no. 6, pp. 3603–3658
- [MV99] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, *\mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **90** (1999), pp. 45–143
- [Nis89] Y. Nisnevich, *The completely decomposed topology on schemes and associated descent spectral sequences in algebraic K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1989, pp. 241–342
- [TT90] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift III, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 88, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 247–435